

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,834	07/15/2003	Claude Grison	33808F0764	5932	
441 759	90 07/06/2005	EXAMINER			
•	BRELL & RUSSELL,	MOORE, MARGARET G			
WASHINGTON	T, N.W., SUITE 800 N. DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1712		
				DATE MAN ED 02/0/1000c	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

***		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(W					
Office Action Summary		10/618,834	GRISON ET AL.						
		Examiner	Art Unit						
		Margaret G. Moore	1712	• •					
Period for Rep	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>05 M</u> a	a <u>y 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This	action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
 4) Claim(s) 42, 44 to 46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 45 and 46 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 42 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Application Pa	ipers								
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 									
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information [ferences Cited (PTO-892) Iftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Mail Date			/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/618,834

Art Unit: 1712

1. Applicant is advised that should claim 42 be found allowable, claim 44 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Page 2

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 250 229, for reasons of record.

Applicants' traversal of this rejection is not persuasive. The previous office action already detailed the differences between the prior art, particularly between the specific compounds shown, and that claimed, and the obviousness of that claimed over that taught. Applicants' attempt to relate the instant situation to the guidelines found in MPEP 2144.08 is not sufficient to overcome this rejection.

Initially the Examiner notes that applicants' subnote 1 does not relate to the instant application as it mentions processes and gas.

The Examiner agrees that the genus disclosed in EP 250 229 is not so small that each member thereof is inherently disclosed. This is why the rejection was made as an obvious rejection rather than an anticipation rejection. The Examiner does not agree, however, that the differences between the disclosed species (particularly the third formula on page 3) and that claimed is large enough to be considered unobvious. The genus size is not as large as that argued. One must select either 4, 5 or 6 as an "n" value. Clearly one having ordinary skill in the art would have found the difference between the exemplified tetramethylene backbone and the claimed pentamethylene backbone obvious. The Examiner made this position in the previous office action. Regarding the guidelines addressed in applicants' response, the Examiner does not agree that the structurally similarity between the disclosed embodiment and the claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/618,834 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

sub-genus differs significantly. This too was addressed in the previous office action. Surely the difference between the exemplified methyl groups and the claimed ethyl groups would have been obvious in view of the totality of that taught in the prior art.

As such this rejection is maintained.

4. Claims 45 and 46 are allowed, for reasons of record.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,834 Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1712

mgm 6/29/05